#### § 838.432

- (a) The former spouse files a court order acceptable for processing that affects or bars the refund of employee contributions with OPM no later than the last day of the second month before the payment of the refund; or
- (b) The former spouse submits proof that—
- (1) The evidence submitted by the employee was fraudulent; and
- (2) Absent the fraud, the former spouse would have been able to submit the necessary documentation required by §838.421 within the time limit prescribed in §838.422.

## §838.432 Court orders barring payment of refunds.

A court order, notice, summons, or other document that attempts to restrain OPM from paying a refund of employee contributions is not effective unless it meets all the requirements of §838.505 or part 581 of this chapter.

# PROCEDURES FOR COMPUTING THE AMOUNT PAYABLE

#### §838.441 Computing lengths of service.

- (a) The smallest unit of time that OPM will calculate in computing a formula in a court order is a month, even where the court order directs OPM to make a more precise calculation.
- (b) If the court order states a formula using a specified simple or decimal fraction other than twelfth parts of a year, OPM will use the specified number to perform simple mathematical computations.

### Subpart E—Requirements for Court Orders Affecting Refunds of Employee Contributions

### §838.501 Purpose and scope.

This subpart regulates the requirements that a court order directed at or barring a refund of employee contributions must meet to be a court order acceptable for processing.

(a) A court order is directed at a refund of employee contributions if it awards a former spouse a portion of a refund of employee contributions.

(b) A court order bars a refund of employee contributions if it prohibits payment of a refund of employee contributions to preserve a former

spouse's court-awarded entitlement to a portion of an employee annuity or to a former spouse survivor annuity.

## §838.502 Expressly dividing a refund of employee contributions.

- (a) A court order directed at a refund of employee contributions is not a court order acceptable for processing unless it expressly awards a former spouse a portion of a refund of employee contributions as provided in paragraph (b) of this section.
- (b) To expressly award a former spouse a portion of a refund of employee contributions as required by paragraph (a) of this section, the court order must—
- (1) Identify the retirement system using terms that are sufficient to identify the retirement system as explained in §838.611; and
- (2) Expressly state that the former spouse is entitled to a portion of a refund of employee contributions using terms that are sufficient to identify the refund of employee contributions as explained in §838.612.

# § 838.503 Providing for payment to the former spouse.

- (a) A court order directed at a refund of employee contributions is not a court order acceptable for processing unless it provides for OPM to pay a portion of a refund of employee contributions to the former spouse as provided in paragraph (b) of this section.
- (b) To provide for OPM to pay a portion of a refund of employee contributions to the former spouse as required by paragraph (a) of this section, the court order must—
- (1) Expressly direct OPM to pay the former spouse directly;
- (2) Direct the employee or separated employee to arrange or to execute forms for OPM to pay the former spouse directly; or
- (3) Be silent concerning who is to pay the portion of the refund of employee contributions awarded to the former spouse.
- (c) Although paragraphs (b)(2) and (b)(3) of this section provide acceptable methods for satisfying the requirement that the court order provide for OPM